

Call for papers LIC'24

Organizations Facing Triple Bottom Line Challenges and Global Disruption

Tunis, 28–29 November 2025

Since the Kyoto Protocol (1997) and the UN's 2030 Agenda, firms have faced growing institutional pressure to embed Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) into their strategies, notably through the *Triple Bottom Line* (TBL) framework, which integrates economic, social, and environmental goals (Elkington, 1998; Pasamar et al., 2023; Li *et al.*, 2025). Yet sustainability is not limited to corporations; it requires shared responsibility across economic actors, institutions, and citizens worldwide (Isil & Hernke, 2017).

This drive towards global sustainability governance is now challenged by major geopolitical shifts. The rejection of the Paris Agreement and the WHO by some states, along with rising trade barriers, reflect a “doctrine of global disruption” (Miran, 2024), culminating in declarations of “economic war on the world.” Such unpredictable protectionism, labelled the “Monroe Doctrine 2.0” (Pires & Nascimento, 2020), fuels systemic instability and undermines multilateral commitments on climate, trade, and financial cooperation (Park, 2024).

The notion of “*disruption*”, originally developed in the field of strategic management (Christensen, 1997), now refers to radical, unilateral repositioning strategies that upset the balance of international institutions. Organisations thus find themselves facing a major tension: on the one hand, growing sustainability requirements stemming from the multilateral agenda; on the other, geopolitical instability undermining economic, ecological, and social balances. In this uncertain and fragmented context, they are required to demonstrate innovation and resilience in order to adapt to unprecedented challenges.

The 9th edition of the LIGUE Annual Conference (LIC'25) forms part of an ongoing dynamic of dialogue between researchers and economic actors, centred on the contemporary challenges facing organisations in Tunisian, African, and international contexts. In continuity with previous editions, it promotes the exploration of a wide range of themes, encouraging innovation, openness, and diversity of perspectives.

In this spirit, **six thematic tracks** have been outlined, each addressing a key facet of current transformations. All sources cited are referenced at the end of this call for papers

TRACK I: Audit, Control and Governance in the Face of Sustainability and Ongoing Change

Track Chairs

Raida Chakroun – raida.chakroun@ihec.ucar.tn

Mariam Dammak – mariam.dammak@isca.uma.tn

This track aims to explore the evolving practices of audit and governance in a context shaped by ESG reporting, the rise of AI, and the pursuit of sustainable performance. The following areas are indicative focal points:

1. Audit Quality: A Cornerstone of ESG Reporting

High-quality ESG auditing has become a strategic tool to reinforce the credibility of sustainability commitments, forming a key link in the ESG reporting trust chain. Ensuring long-term prosperity requires a strengthened regulatory and professional framework—encompassing not only financial statements but also sustainability reports and ESG practices (Lufeng & Xiaoxiao, 2025). Standards such as IFRS S1 and S2 (ISSB) and the ESRS under the CSRD directive aim to enhance sustainability transparency, requiring auditors to adapt and transform regulatory compliance into a lever of lasting trust.

2. Audit in the Age of AI: Challenges and Prospects

Global disruptions—driven by technology, economic crises, AI, and cyber threats—require firms to rapidly adapt, calling for audit practices capable of assessing resilience, agility, and risk management in volatile environments (Shehadeh & Hussainey, 2025). Research has advanced on digitalisation and AI in auditing, covering Big Data (Appelbaum *et al.*, 2017), continuous auditing (Hardy & Laslett, 2015), audit quality and efficiency (Commerford *et al.*, 2022), fraud detection, blockchain, and cloud auditing (Mugwira, 2022). AI offers opportunities to streamline processes, enhance accuracy, and mitigate risk—particularly through fraud detection and the analysis of complex financial data (Ramdi, 2021; Sun, 2019). However, its use raises critical ethical concerns around privacy, bias, and transparency, necessitating suitable regulatory and ethical frameworks (Munoko *et al.*, 2020).

3. Audit, Governance, and Sustainable Performance

4.

Audit quality plays a central role in corporate responsibility, especially regarding disclosures on climate change and its impacts. Studies show that audit quality is a key driver of corporate performance (Uyar *et al.*, 2023), while responsible audit and governance practices aim to align financial objectives with sustainable outcomes—promoting long-term transparency, accountability, and resilience (Saed *et al.*, 2024; Alaamri *et al.*, 2024).

TRACK II: Entrepreneurship in disruptive contexts: Towards responsible, resilient Sustainability

Track Chairs

Souad Chouk souad.kamoun@esct.uma.tn

Amel Bouderbala amel.bouderbala@esct.uma.tn

This track examines how entrepreneurs navigate political, economic, climate-related, health, and technological disruptions by developing innovative business models. Contributions may focus on the following themes:

1. Sustainable Entrepreneurship can be understood as a concept (Schaltegger & Wagner, 2021), a dynamic process (York & Venkataraman, 2022), or through its roles and challenges (Cohen & Winn, 2021; Hockerts & Wüstenhagen, 2020). It is closely tied to responsible economic models (Bouchard & Bouchard, 2021; Pineau, 2022a), innovation-driven approaches (Caliandro *et al.*, 2018; Gasse & Gagnon, 2023a), and integrated strategies (Lévesque & Bouchard, 2020a).

2. Green Entrepreneurship aims to generate positive environmental impact and reflects an evolving paradigm (Hockerts & Wüstenhagen, 2024). Research may explore its contribution to sustainability (Cohen & Winn, 2023), its relationship with success through green innovation (York & Venkataraman, 2025), and its role in ecological transition (Martin & Dupuis, 2025).

3. Social Entrepreneurship : Combining innovation with economic viability, social entrepreneurship creates social value (Bacq & Eddleston, 2020; Lévesque & Gagnon, 2023). It can be analysed through its theoretical foundations and practices (Bouchard & Bouchard, 2022), emerging challenges (Defourny & Nyssens, 2021), and research agendas (Pache & Santos, 2022; Short *et al.*, 2020).

4. Digital Entrepreneurship : This axis explores macroeconomic implications of digital entrepreneurship (Léger & Bouchard, 2021; Pineau, 2022b), digital strategies (Nambisan, 2021; Bharadwaj *et al.*, 2021), its role in crisis response (Kuckertz & Wagner, 2020), and entrepreneurs' digital literacy (Kamoun-Chouk, 2019).

5. Inclusive Entrepreneurship : Associated with the social and solidarity economy, inclusive entrepreneurship promotes access for under-represented groups (Pineau, 2022c). It may be studied through its challenges and opportunities (Bouchard & Lévesque, 2021; Gasse & Gagnon, 2023b), or through systemic approaches (Lévesque & Bouchard, 2020b).

6. Entrepreneurship and Resilience : This theme addresses entrepreneurs' capacity to withstand adversity (Fischer & Reuber, 2022), resilience as a factor of success (Shepherd & Patzelt, 2023), coping strategies (Williams & Shepherd, 2020), and the impact of crises (Kuckertz & Wagner, 2021).

Track III – Rethinking Management and Human Resources in the Face of Disruption

Track Chairs

Houda Guerhazi houda.hakim.guerhazi@ensi-uma.tn

Hanan Khanchel hanankhanchel@gmail.com

Ines Mhissen ines.mhissen@iscae.uma.tn

Faced with major disruptions, organisations must rethink management and HR by integrating resilience, sustainability, and innovation (Do *et al.*, 2024; Guennoun *et al.*, 2024). This track explores the organizational strategies mobilized to meet these challenges, combining resilience, social sustainability, and managerial innovation (Alhaddi, 2015; Ozanne *et al.*, 2016; Correia, 2019).

1. Integration of the TBL in supply chain management: Global disruptions highlight the need to integrate economic, social and environmental factors to strengthen the resilience of supply chains (Tundys *et al.*, 2023). This integration impacts risk management and the adaptability of organizations (Nogueira *et al.*, 2025).

2. Towards a Holistic and Systemic Approach: The increasing complexity of global challenges is driving a systemic integration of the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) with other frameworks such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and European directives (CSDDD, CSRD). This approach encourages research into the strategies adopted by companies to align their actions with global sustainability objectives (Yip *et al.*, 2023)

3. Rethinking Talent Management in the Era of Ecological Transition: The HR function plays a key role in the ecological transition by aligning recruitment and skills development with environmental challenges. Green HRM, as a structuring framework, integrates eco-responsible practices throughout the HR cycle and questions the ecological footprint of managerial activities (Hu *et al.*, 2024; Fleacă *et al.*, 2024; Lakhera & Sharma, 2020).

4. Building Inclusive and Equitable Work Environments: Future research will focus on HR and managerial practices that foster inclusive and equitable work environments by reducing systemic biases, promoting diverse teams, and encouraging management that values cultural diversity and gender equality. It will also examine mechanisms for inclusive organisational learning (Alfaro, 2024; Vedres & Vasarhelyi, 2023; Acar *et al.*, 2023).

5. Artificial Intelligence (AI), Responsible Management and Skills Transformation: AI automates HR/managerial tasks, optimizes resources via machine learning and predictive analytics (Kediya *et al.*, 2024), enhances resilience through adaptive models, risk prediction, supply chain optimization (Dwivedi *et al.*, 2021; Baryannis *et al.*, 2019), redefines jobs/skills necessitating human-machine collaboration, competency reorientation within sociotechnical frameworks (Raisch & Krakowski, 2021; Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2020). Responsible integration requires limiting biases, promoting digital literacy, equitable inclusion, ethical framework, human governance (Shafira *et al.*, 2024; Herman, 2024).

TRACK IV: Fostering Inclusive, Ethical, and Responsible Marketing: Redefining Roles

Track Chairs

Fatma Choura _ fatma.choura@isi.utm.tn

Féten Ochi faten.ochi@iscae.uma.tn; ochifaten@yahoo.fr

1. Technological Transitions: Implications, Challenges, and Stakes

Digital technologies and artificial intelligence (AI) are rapidly transforming interactions between consumers, businesses, and society, leading to significant sectoral reconfigurations (Davenport *et al.*, 2020; Thakur & Kumar, 2024; Kaushal & Mishra, 2024). AI enhances behavior prediction, personalization of offerings, and customer relationship optimization (Rosário, 2024). However, these technological advances raise critical ethical concerns—such as privacy, algorithmic bias, and manipulation—and intensify the risks of a digital divide, thereby exacerbating social inequalities (Kopalle *et al.*, 2022; Kumar & Suthar, 2024; Hofacker *et al.*, 2016; Lahiri, 2024).

2. Crisis Contexts, Consumer Behavior, and Marketing Approaches

Successive crises have impeded economic growth and diminished purchasing power, rendering consumer decision-making more ambivalent. Torn between rational calculation and personal values, consumers increasingly adopt adaptive behaviors, compelling firms to revise their strategies accordingly (Oana, 2020; Yap *et al.*, 2021; Rayburn *et al.*, 2022). These crisis contexts also demand that brands reaffirm their core values and engage in transparent, committed communication with stakeholders (Grace & Dunn, 2025), in response to heightened expectations for corporate citizenship and social responsibility.

3. Transitions Toward Responsible and Sustainable Marketing

Rethinking economic roles is essential to reconcile economic growth with ecosystem preservation, thus paving the way for sustainable marketing grounded in circular economy principles. Green marketing enhances brand value among environmentally conscious consumers (Semprebon *et al.*, 2019; Wang & Juo, 2024), while inclusive marketing promotes equity by embracing diversity (Carvalho *et al.*, 2023; Verbytska *et al.*, 2023). Circular economy practices further strengthen this trajectory by reducing environmental footprints and fostering inclusion and responsible marketing (Panchal *et al.*, 2021; Nikolaou *et al.*, 2021; Vann Yaroson *et al.*, 2024).

Track V : Accounting, Taxation, and Sustainability in a Fragmented World: Tensions, Transformations, and Alternative Pathways

Track Chairs

Fatma Ben Slama _ fatma.benslama@iscae.uma.tn,

Nawel Fendri _ naouel.fendri@gmail.com

Amel Ben Rhouma _ amel.ben-rhouma@u-paris.fr

In a fragmented geopolitical landscape, organizations are challenged to align sustainability objectives with international volatility. The interplay between multilateral cooperation and national sovereignty is transforming trade and environmental frameworks (Rodrik, 2023; Schram, 2024; Kolk *et al.*, 2023). Mechanisms such as the CBAM and green fiscal tools signal evolving strategies and institutional adjustments (Pogge & Mehta, 2023; OECD, 2023). This track encourages critical, cross-disciplinary analyses of how taxation and accounting adapt to these ongoing transitions.

1. Contemporary Reconfigurations of Accounting under the Strain of Transitions: The institutionalization of sustainability accounting reflects a systemic transformation attempt, yet it remains shaped by strong tensions (Bebbington *et al.*, 2017; Gray, 2010; Cho *et al.*, 2015). Dominant accounting tools are increasingly challenged by critical and alternative approaches, such as the CARE model (Richard, 2012; Rambaud & Richard, 2015) or ecosystem-centered accounting (Sukhdev *et al.*, 2022; Bini *et al.*, 2023).

2. Geopolitical Tensions, Normative Fragmentation, and Fiscal Reconfigurations: Taxation becomes a strategic tool of sovereignty in a multipolar world. Mechanisms like BEPS or CBAM contribute to a reshaping of global fiscal governance, intensifying risks of normative misalignment (World Economic Forum, 2024; IFAC & AICPA, 2023).

3. Sustainable Taxation and Environmental Accounting: Drivers or Obstacles to Transformation?: Fiscal and accounting tools are central to transition dynamics but raise questions regarding their coherence and effectiveness when confronted with prevailing economic logics (Levy & Kaplan, 2022; Barker & Mayer, 2022).

5. Taxation, Sustainability Standards, and Territorial Justice: North/South asymmetries in fiscal and accounting governance raise critical issues of climate justice and recognition of diverse territorial realities (Lehman, 2010; Alawattage & Wickramasinghe, 2009).

6. Governance of Accounting and Fiscal Standards in a Multipolar World: The intensification of geopolitical tensions weakens normative convergence and reactivates conflicts of interest within standard-setting arenas (Christensen *et al.*, 2023; Kolk *et al.*, 2023).

7. Empirical Studies and Organizational Cases: Companies face conflicting injunctions between globalized ESG reporting and local tax constraints, revealing hybridization or resistance dynamics (Flower, 2015; Capron & Quairel, 2015).

TRACK VI : Financial Disruption and Emerging Dynamics in a Fragmented World

Track Chairs

Olfa Errais _ olfa.errais@iscae.uma.tn

Mohamed Yousfi _ mohamed.yousfi@iscae.uma.tn

This track opens the debate on key dynamics shaping the contemporary financial landscape.

1. Financial Resilience of Socially Responsible Firms

The integration of CSR and ESG criteria acts as a form of insurance in times of crisis (Peng *et al.*, 2023), enhancing both returns and firm valuation (Godfrey *et al.*, 2009; Lins *et al.*, 2017; Harjoto & Laksmana, 2018). This protective effect is also confirmed in the face of geopolitical shocks (Kuai & Wang, 2025; Chowdhury *et al.*, 2025).

2. Cryptocurrencies and the Fragmentation of the Global Financial System

The decentralization of cryptocurrencies enables the circumvention of economic sanctions (Zola *et al.*, 2024), yet their anonymity increases the risk of tax evasion and financial opacity (Wronka, 2022), raising questions about the effectiveness of sanctions (Gutmann *et al.*, 2023). Cryptocurrencies are used for a wide range of purposes, including illicit ones (Tiwari *et al.*, 2024), and sanctions may even accelerate their adoption, particularly in Africa (Thi Hang, 2025).

3. The Transformation of Financial Markets Through Green Regulation

Green regulation is redirecting capital toward sustainable investments (European Commission, 2020), supported by initiatives such as the TCFD (Hilbrich *et al.*, 2024). It incentivizes companies with low carbon footprints (Ameli *et al.*, 2021), despite ongoing challenges related to harmonization and the inclusion of emerging economies (Tarczyska-Luniewska *et al.*, 2024).

4. Challenges of Financing the Energy Transition in Emerging Economies

Energy transition financing in emerging economies is hindered by inadequate regulatory frameworks and high perceived risks (Barua & Aziz, 2022; Calcaterra *et al.*, 2024; Briera & Lefèvre, 2024). These barriers are further exacerbated by ESG standards set by developed markets (Tarczyska-Luniewska *et al.*, 2024), despite the growing use of instruments such as green bonds (Fatica & Panzica, 2021).

5. The Rise of Crypto-Assets and Digital Currencies Amid Disruption

These new forms of money challenge sovereign currencies (Foley *et al.*, 2019), but their volatility and illicit uses pose significant risks (Gandal *et al.*, 2018). In response, central banks are developing Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) as strategic tools (Auer *et al.*, 2023; Ozili, 2023), with further justification found in their stabilizing potential (Auer *et al.*, 2022; Chen & Siklos, 2022).

6. Fintech and Financial Inclusion in the Face of Global Disruptions

Fintech fosters financial inclusion (Zins & Weill, 2016), facilitates access to public assistance (Sahay *et al.*, 2020), and enhances transparency (Arner *et al.*, 2016). However, appropriate regulation is essential to prevent exclusion risks (Bazarbash, 2019), and the long-term impact of fintech will depend on governance frameworks and financial education.

Submissions Guidelines

Submissions addressing issues related to the conference themes are highly encouraged. All submissions will be subject to a double-blind review process.

We welcome submissions of a standard appropriate for highly ranked journals in English or French, as short or full papers. Short papers should be of 3 to 5 pages (references not included) maximum and full papers of 12 pages maximum (references not included). They should be submitted to:

<https://ligue2025.sciencesconf.org>

Manuscripts must be original and unpublished and not under review for publication elsewhere. Success in the review process will not guarantee publication in the special and regular issues supporting this LIC'2025 edition, but to be accepted for publication in these special issues, papers need to be presented at the conference. Authors of selected best short/full papers will be invited to submit a final full version of their contribution for a potential publication in special issues.

Article files should be written in English or in French and provided in Microsoft Word format. Please ensure that the text is in Times New Roman font style, font size 12, with 1.5 spacing.

Articles files should include:

- 1- A cover sheet (page 1) including the title of the paper, the author's name(s), title(s), institutional affiliations and contact details, with the primary contact person designated.
- 2- A structured abstract on page 2: authors must supply a structured abstract (maximum 250 words in total) set out under 7 sub-headings: 1) Purpose; 2) Design/methodology/approach; 3) Findings; 4) Research limitations/implications; 5) Practical implications; 6) Originality/value; 7) Keywords: a maximum of 4 relevant and short keywords.

3- Starting from page 3, a short paper and a full paper should be prepared as follows:

- Introduction
- Literature review
- Design/methodology/approach
- Findings/discussion
- Research limitations/implications

Conference fees

- Academics: 200 € or 400 TND (*local participants only*)
- Ph.D. students: 150 € or 250 TND (*local participants only*)
- Business participants: 600 TND

Conference fees cover coffee breaks, lunch, and conference pack. There will be no refund of the fees for cancellation. At least one of the authors of accepted papers is required to register for the conference AND to present the accepted contribution at the time designated in the conference program. Any research submission accepted for presentation but not presented at the conference will NOT appear nor be acknowledged in the official conference program.

Accommodation and Travel

Information on nearby accommodation and travel fees will be provided at a later date.

Important dates

- 02 September 2025 Full and short paper submission closes at midnight
- 20 October 2025 Review decision notified to authors
- 27 October 2025 Conference registration opens
- 07 November 2025 Conference registration closes
- And 28 & 29 November 2025 The holding of the Conference

Contacts



www.ligue-iscae.com



ligue.international.conference@gmail.com



www.linkedin.com/in/labo-ligue-lr99es24



Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire de Gestion Université Entreprise-LIGUE

For further information, please contact:

Rym Bouderbala via this email : ligue.direction@gmail.com

References

- Acar, O. A., Tuncdogan, A., van Knippenberg, D., & Lakhani, K. R. (2023). Collective Creativity and Innovation: An Interdisciplinary Review, Integration, and Research Agenda. *Journal of Management*, 50(6), 2119–2151. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01492063231212416>.
- Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2020). Robots and Jobs: Evidence from US Labor Markets, *Journal of Political Economy* Volume 128, Number 6
- Alaamri, Y., Hussainey, K., Nandy, M., & Lodh, S. (2024). The impact of audit quality and climate change reporting on corporate performance : a review and future research agenda. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 46(4), 611-629.
- Alawattage, C., & Wickramasinghe, D. (2009). *Institutionalization of control and accounting for bonded labour in colonial plantations: A historical analysis*. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 20(6), 701–715.
- Alfaro, Z. J. G. (2024). Towards an Inclusion Framework for Strategic Hiring. *International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.54476/ioer-imrj/471877>
- Alhaddi, H. (2015). *Triple bottom line and sustainability: A literature review*. *Business and Management Studies*, 1(2), 6–10.
- Alles, M. G., & Gray, G. L. (2020). Artificial intelligence and the audit: Considering the implications of automation for trust in audited financial statements. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, 17(2), 89-103. <https://doi.org/10.2308/jeta-19-066>
- Ameli, N., Drummond, P., Bisaro, A., Grubb, M., & Chenet, H. (2020). *Climate finance and disclosure for institutional investors: why transparency is not enough*. *Climatic Change*, 160(4), 565–589.
- Appelbaum, D., Kogan, A., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2017). Big Data and Analytics in the Modern Audit Engagement: Research Needs. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 36, 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-51684>
- Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2015). *The evolution of Fintech: A new post-crisis paradigm*. *Geo. J. Int'l L.*, 47, 1271.
- Auer, R., Cornelli, G., & Frost, J. (2023). *Rise of the central bank digital currencies*. *International Journal of Central Banking*, 19(4), 185–214.
- Auer, R., Frost, J., Gambacorta, L., Monnet, C., Rice, T., & Shin, H. S. (2022). *Central bank digital currencies: motives, economic implications, and the research frontier*. *Annual Review of Economics*, 14(1), 697–721.
- Bacq, S., & Eddleston, K. A. (2020). *A Resource-Based View of Social Entrepreneurship: The Role of Social and Financial Resources in the Creation of Social Value*. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 35(5), 106-120. DOI: [10.1016/j.jbusvent.2020.106120](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2020.106120)
- Bansal, P., & DesJardine, M. R. (2014). *Business sustainability: It is about time*. *Strategic Organization*, 12(1), 70–78.
- Barker, R., & Mayer, C. (2022). *Principles for Purposeful Business: How to deliver the framework for corporate sustainability disclosure*. British Academy.
- Barua, S., & Aziz, S. (2022). *Making green finance work for the sustainable energy transition in emerging economies*. In *Energy-growth nexus in an era of globalization* (pp. 353–382). Elsevier.
- Baryannis, G., et al. (2019). Supply chain risk management and artificial intelligence: State of the art and future research directions. *International Journal of Production Research*. DOI:

10.1080/00207543.2018.1530476

- Bazarbash, M. (2019). *Fintech in financial inclusion: Machine learning applications in assessing credit risk*. *International Monetary Fund*.
- Bebbington, J., Russell, S., & Thomson, I. (2017). *Accounting and sustainable development: Reflections and propositions*. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 48, 21–34.
- Bharadwaj, A., El Sawy, O. A., Pavlou, P. A., & Venkatraman, N. (2021). Digital Business Strategy: Toward a Next Generation of Insights. *MIS Quarterly*, 45(1), 1-16.
DOI: [10.25300/MISQ/2021/14500](https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2021/14500)
- Böcher, M., & Hennemann, S. (2023). Collaborative Entrepreneurship: A New Paradigm for Business Innovation. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 29(1), 1–20.
DOI: [10.1108/IJEER-05-2022-0245](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEER-05-2022-0245)
- Bouchard, M. J., & Bouchard, V. (2021). *Entrepreneuriat durable : enjeux et perspectives*. Éditions de l'Université du Québec. ISBN : 978-2-7605-5175-3
- Bouchard, M. J., & Bouchard, V. (2022). *Entrepreneuriat social : théories et pratiques*. Éditions de l'Université du Québec. ISBN : 978-2-7605-5178-4
- Bouchard, M. J., & Lévesque, M. (2021). *Entrepreneuriat inclusif : enjeux et perspectives*. Éditions de l'Université du Québec. ISBN : 978-2-7605-5182-1
- Briera, T., & Lefèvre, J. (2024). *Reducing the cost of capital through international climate finance to accelerate the renewable energy transition in developing countries*. *Energy Policy*, 188, 114104.
- Calcaterra, M., Aleluia Reis, L., Fragkos, P., Briera, T., de Boer, H. S., Egli, F., ... & Tavoni, M. (2024). *Reducing the cost of capital to finance the energy transition in developing countries*. *Nature Energy*, 9(10), 1241–1251.
- Caliandro, A., Cirillo, V., & Liguori, E. (2018). Entrepreneurial Innovation and Sustainable Development: Navigating through Transitions in Entrepreneurial Ecosystems. *Journal of Business Research*, 88, 126–137.
- Capron, M., & Quairel, F. (2015). *Reporting extra-financier, un nouvel outil de dialogue avec les parties prenantes ?* *Revue française de gestion*, (254), 97–114.
- Carvalho, J. M., Nogueira, S., & Martins, N. (2023). Inclusivity and corporate social responsibility in marketing. *Innovative Marketing*, 19(1), 1.
- Chen, H., & Siklos, P. L. (2022). *Central bank digital currency: A review and some macro-financial implications*. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 60, 100985.
- Cho, C. H., Laine, M., Roberts, R. W., & Rodrigue, M. (2015). *Organized hypocrisy, organizational façades, and sustainability reporting*. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 40, 78–94.
- Chowdhury, M. S. R., Koussis, N., Makrominas, M., & Trigeorgis, L. (2025). *Geopolitical risk, uncertainty, real options, and corporate social responsibility*. *Financial Review*.
- Christensen, C. M. (1997). *The Innovator's Dilemma: When New Technologies Cause Great Firms to Fail*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- Christensen, H. B., Hail, L., & Leuz, C. (2023). *Adoption of sustainability reporting standards: Political economy and institutional pressures*. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 40(4), 1990–2025.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1911-3846.12910>
- Cohen, B., & Winn, M. I. (2021). The Role of Entrepreneurship in Sustainable Development: A Review and Research Agenda. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 36(1), 101-115. DOI: [10.1016/j.jbusvent.2020.106021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2020.106021)
- Cohen, B., & Winn, M. I. (2023). *The Role of Green Entrepreneurship in Sustainable Development*. *Journal*

of Business Venturing, 38(2), 123-145.

Commerford, B. P., Dennis, S. A., Joe, J. R., & Ulla, J. W. (2022). Man Versus Machine: Complex Estimates and Auditor Reliance on Artificial Intelligence. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 60(1), 171-201. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-679X.12407>

Commission européenne. (2020). *EU taxonomy for sustainable activities*.

https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/banking-and-finance/sustainable-finance/eu-taxonomy-sustainable-activities_en

Correia, M. S. (2019). Sustainability: An overview of the triple bottom line and sustainability implementation. *International Journal of Strategic Engineering (IJoSE)*, 2(1), 29–38.

Dardot, P., & Laval, C. (2014). *Commun: Essai sur la révolution au XXIe siècle*. La Découverte

Das, Sujata & Dash, Madhusmita. (2023). Green Recruitment and Selection: An Innovative Approach towards Organizational Development and Environmental Sustainability. *Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.52711/2454-2679.2023.00010>.

Davenport, T., Guha, A., Grewal, D., & Bressgott, T. (2020). How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48, 24-42.

Defourny, J., & Nyssens, M. (2021). *L'entrepreneuriat social : enjeux et perspectives*. Presses Universitaires de Louvain. ISBN : 978-2-87558-978-5

Do, H., Bich, N., Nguyen, T. K., & Nguyen, T. M. (2024). Unveiling the impact of technological innovation and SMEs resilience: the moderating role of firms' social sustainability orientation. *European Journal of Innovation Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejim-04-2024-0358>.

Dwivedi, Y.K., et al. (2021) Evolution of artificial intelligence research in Technological Forecasting and Social Change: Research topics, trends, and future directions, *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, Volume 192, July 2023, 122579, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122579>

Elkington, J (1998). *Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*; New Society Publishers: Gabriola Island, BC, Canada.

https://www.hudsonbaycapital.com/documents/FG/hudsonbay/research/638199_A_Users_Guide_to_Restructuring_the_Global_Trading_System.pdf

Fatica, S., & Panzica, R. (2021). *Green bonds as a tool against climate change? Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(5), 2688–2701.

Fedyk, A., Hodson, J., & Khimich, N. (2022). Is artificial intelligence improving the audit process? *Review of Accounting Studies*, 27, 938-985. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11142-022-09697-x>

Fischer, E., & Reuber, A. R. (2022). Entrepreneurial Resilience: A Review and Future Directions. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 28(1), 1-20. DOI: [10.1108/IJEBr-05-2021-0325](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEBr-05-2021-0325)

Fleacă, B., Militaru, G., & Fleacă, E. (2024). Reinforcement of Workforce Training Programs : Insights from Pilot Testing Process to Enhance Greening Practices in Enterprises. *Sustainability*, 16(23), 10377. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su162310377>.

Flower, J. (2015). *The International Integrated Reporting Council: A story of failure*. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 27, 1–17.

Gandal, N., Hamrick, J. T., Moore, T., & Oberman, T. (2018). *Price manipulation in the Bitcoin ecosystem*. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 95, 86–96.

Gasse, Y., & Gagnon, S. (2023a). *L'innovation durable et l'entrepreneuriat : défis et opportunités*. Presses de l'Université du Québec. ISBN : 978-2-7605-5176-0

- Gasse, Y., & Gagnon, S. (2023b). *L'innovation sociale et l'entrepreneuriat inclusif : défis et opportunités*. Presses de l'Université du Québec. ISBN : 978-2-7605-5183-8
- Gasse, Y., & Gagnon, S. (2023c). *L'impact de l'entrepreneuriat collaboratif sur le développement économique local*. Presses de l'Université du Québec. ISBN : 978-2-7605-5185-2
- Godfrey, P. C., Merrill, C. B., & Hansen, J. M. (2009). *The relationship between corporate social responsibility and shareholder value: An empirical test of the risk management hypothesis*. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30(4), 425-445.
- Grace, R., & Dunn, M. (2025). *The Future of Ethics in Crisis Communication*. In *The Routledge Handbook of Ethics in Technical and Professional Communication* (pp. 460-471). Routledge.
- Gray, R. (2010). *Is accounting for sustainability actually accounting for sustainability... and how would we know?*. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 35(1), 47–62.
- Guennoun, A., El Jamoussi, Y., Bourkane, S., & Habbani, S. (2024). The organizational resilience in startups through the lens of innovation. *Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review*, 8(2), 164–170. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cgobrv8i2p16>.
- Gutmann, J., Neuenkirch, M., & Neumeier, F. (2023). *The economic effects of international sanctions: An event study*. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 51(4), 1214-1231.
- Hardy, G., & Laslett, R. (2015). Continuous auditing: The Metcash journey. *Chartered Accountants Journal*, 94(1), 38-41.
- Harjoto, M., & Laksmana, I. (2018). *The impact of corporate social responsibility on risk taking and firm value*. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 151, 353-373.
- Herman, Herman, Amin, Shofia, Adriani, Zulфина & Rosita, Sry. (2024). Human Resource Management in the Digital Era. *Dinasti International Journal of Education Management And Social Science*, 6(2), 1070–1082. <https://doi.org/10.38035/dijemss.v6i2.3603>.
- Hilbrich, S., Berensmann, K., Artmann, G., Ashman, S., Herbold, T., Lötters-Viehof, S., ... & Steenkamp, L. A. (2024). *Implementing sustainability taxonomies to redirect capital flows: the case of South Africa*. *Climate Policy*, 1–15.
- Hockerts, K., & Wüstenhagen, R. (2020). Greening Goliaths versus David: The Role of Social Entrepreneurship in the Transition to a Sustainable Economy. *Journal of Business Research*, 113, 110. DOI: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.10.045](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.10.045)
- Hockerts, K., & Wüstenhagen, R. (2024). Sustainable Entrepreneurship: A New Paradigm for Business. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 33(1), 45-60
- Hu, Q., Yuan, C., & Li, X. (2024). The impact of environmental strategy on environmental performance: mediating role of green human resource management and moderating role of green consciousness of top managers. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 35(17), 2891-2915.
- IFAC & AICPA. (2023). *The future of sustainability reporting in a multipolar world*. International Federation of Accountants & American Institute of CPAs. <https://www.ifac.org>
- Isil, O., & Hernke, M. T. (2017). The triple bottom line: A critical review from a transdisciplinary perspective. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 26(8), 1235-1251.
- Kamoun-Chouk, S. (2019), *Study of Entrepreneurial Students' Perceptions of the Impact of Digital Literacy Skills on Their Future Career: Evidence from Tunisian Higher Education*. KMO Chapter, Springer 2019: 392-402 https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-21451-7_34#chapter-info
- Kaushal, S., & Mishra, D. (2024, March). Strategic implications of AI in contemporary business and Society.

- In 2024 *2nd International Conference on Disruptive Technologies (ICDT)* (pp. 1469-1474). IEEE.
- Kediya, V., Mohanti, P. Wankhede, R. Deshkar, S. Wagh Gudadhe, A., (2024) Utilizing Data: An Extensive Analysis of Artificial Intelligence Integration in Management Approaches. *International Conference on Cybernation and Computation (CYBERCOM)*, Dehradun, India, 2024, pp. 488-492. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CYBERCOM63683.2024.10803171>.
- Kolk, A., Rivera-Santos, M., & Rufin, C. (2023). *Multinational enterprises and the challenge of sustainability in a fragmented world*. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 54(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-022-00541-4>
- Kopalle, P. K., Gangwar, M., Kaplan, A., Ramachandran, D., Reinartz, W., & Rindfleisch, A. (2022). Examining artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in marketing via a global lens: Current trends and future research opportunities. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 39(2), 522-540.
- Kuai, Y., & Wang, H. (2025). *Geopolitical risk and corporate ESG performance: Evidence from China*. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 61(4), 1010-1029.
- Kuckertz, A., & Wagner, M. (2020). Startups in Times of Crisis: A Rapid Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights*, 14, 100202.
DOI: [10.1016/j.jbvi.2020.100202](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbvi.2020.100202)
- Kuckertz, A., & Wagner, M. (2021). The Influence of Crisis on Entrepreneurial Resilience: A Study of Startups during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Business Research*, 136, 1-10.
DOI: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.06.045](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.06.045)
- Kumar, D., & Suthar, N. (2024). Ethical and legal challenges of AI in marketing: an exploration of solutions. *Journal of Information, Communication and Ethics in Society*, 22(1), 124-144.
- Lahiri, A. (2024). Sociological Implications of the Digital Divide: Exploring Access to Information and Social Inequality in the Age of Artificial Intelligence and Automation. *Research review International Journal of Multidisciplinary*, 9(1), 156-167.
- Lakhera, A., & Sharma, P. (2020). Green HRM: Best HR Practices Within an Organization for Reducing Employees Carbon Footprint. *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations (IJKBO)*, 10(3), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJKBO.2020070101>.
- Léger, P., & Bouchard, V. (2021). *L'entrepreneuriat numérique : enjeux et perspectives*. Éditions de l'Université du Québec. ISBN : 978-2-7605-5180-7
- Lehman, C. R. (2010). *Globalization and accounting: Inequality and resistance*. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 21(4), 376–387.
- Lévesque, M., & Bouchard, M. J. (2020c). *Entrepreneuriat collaboratif et développement durable : une approche intégrée*. Éditions EMS. ISBN : 978-2-84985-127-2
- Lévesque, M., & Bouchard, V. (2020a). *Entrepreneuriat et développement durable : une approche intégrée*. Éditions EMS. ISBN : 978-2-84985-123-4
- Lévesque, M., & Bouchard, V. (2020b). *Entrepreneuriat et inclusion sociale : une approche systémique*. Éditions EMS. ISBN : 978-2-84985-126-5
- Lévesque, M., & Gagnon, S. (2023). *L'innovation sociale et l'entrepreneuriat : vers un nouveau modèle économique*. Éditions EMS. ISBN : 978-2-84985-124-1
- Levy, D. L., & Kaplan, R. (2022). *The political dynamics of sustainability reporting standards: Between global consensus and national sovereignty*. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 98, 101365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2022.101365>
- Li, Y., Zhou, T., Jia, N., & Chen, R. (2025). Investigating the decoupling effects between global sustainable

- development and multi-disaster crises. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, 32(3), 295-308.
- Lins, K. V., Servaes, H., & Tamayo, A. (2017). *Social capital, trust, and firm performance: The value of corporate social responsibility during the financial crisis*. *The Journal of Finance*, 72(4), 1785-1824.
- Lufeng G et Xiaoxiao L, (2025). Why Do ESG Rating Differences Affect Audit Fees?—Dual Intermediary Path Analysis Based on Operating Risk and Analyst Earnings Forecast Error. *Sustainability*, vol. 17, no 2, 1-17
- Martin, C., & Dupuis, M. (2025). L'impact de l'entrepreneuriat vert sur la transition écologique. *Revue de l'Entrepreneuriat*, 19(1), 23-40.
- Miran, Stephen. 2024. "A User's Guide to Restructuring the Global Trading System," Hudson Bay Capital, November. <https://www.hudsonbaycapital.com/>. Disponible à l'adresse :
- Mugwira, T. (2022). Internet Related Technologies in the auditing profession : A WOS bibliometric review of the past three decades and conceptual structure mapping. *Spanish Accounting Review*, 25(2), 201-216.
- Munoko, I., Brown-Liburd, H. L., & Vasarhelyi, M. (2020). The Ethical Implications of Using Artificial Intelligence in Auditing. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 167(2), 209-234. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04407-1>
- Nambisan, S. (2021). Digital Entrepreneurship: Toward a Digital Technology Perspective of Entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 45(1), 1-20.
DOI: [10.1177/1042258719892000](https://doi.org/10.1177/1042258719892000)
- Nations Unies. (1987). *Notre avenir à tous* (Rapport Brundtland). Commission mondiale sur l'environnement et le développement. Oxford University Press.
- Nikolaou, I. E., & Tsagarakis, K. P. (2021). An introduction to circular economy and sustainability: Some existing lessons and future directions. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 28, 600-609.
- Oana, D. (2020). The impact of the current crisis generated by the COVID-19 pandemic on consumer behavior. *Studies in Business and Economics*, 15(2), 85-99.
- OECD. (2023). *Corporate sustainability in a fragmented world: Policy challenges and opportunities*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. <https://www.oecd.org>
- Ozili, P. K. (2023). *Central bank digital currency research around the World: a review of literature*. *Journal of Money Laundering Control*, 26(2), 215–226.
- Pache, A. C., & Santos, F. (2022). *The Double Edge of Social Entrepreneurship: A Review and Research Agenda*. *Academy of Management Annals*, 16(1), 1-30. DOI: [10.5465/annals.2019.0030](https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2019.0030)
- Panchal, R., Singh, A., & Diwan, H. (2021). Does circular economy performance lead to sustainable development?—A systematic literature review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 293, 112811.
- Park, S. C. (2024). New Era of US and the EU Protectionism: How Will It Affect East Asia?. 19(2à, pp 21-55. doi:[10.17323/1996-7845-2024-02-02](https://doi.org/10.17323/1996-7845-2024-02-02)
- Pasamar, S., Bornay-Barrachina, M. and Morales-Sánchez, R. (2023), "Institutional pressures for sustainability: a triple bottom line approach", *European Journal of Management and Business Economics*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJMBE-07-2022-0241>.
- Peng, D., Colak, G., & Shen, J. (2023). *Lean against the wind: The effect of policy uncertainty on a firm's corporate social responsibility strategy*. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 79, 102376.
- Pham, D.D.T. & Paillé, P. (2020). Green recruitment and selection: an insight into green patterns. *International Journal of Manpower*, 41(3), 258–272. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-05-2018-0155>.

- Pineau, G. (2022a). *L'entrepreneuriat durable : vers un modèle économique responsable*. Éditions L'Harmattan. ISBN : 978-2-343-20145-5
- Pineau, G. (2022b). *Entrepreneuriat numérique et innovation : vers un nouveau modèle économique*. Éditions L'Harmattan. ISBN : 978-2-343-20200-1
- Pineau, G. (2022c). *L'entrepreneuriat inclusif : vers une économie solidaire et durable*. Éditions L'Harmattan. ISBN : 978-2-343-20201-8
- Pineau, G. (2022d). *Entrepreneuriat collaboratif et innovation sociale : vers un modèle économique durable*. Éditions L'Harmattan. ISBN : 978-2-343-20202-5
- Pires, M. C., & Nascimento, L. G. (2020). The Monroe Doctrine 2.0 and US China-Latin America trilateral relations. *International Organisations Research Journal*, vol. 15, no. 3. DOI, 10, 1996-7845.
- Pogge, T., & Mehta, K. (2023). *Justice and Global Taxation: Reimagining Global Fiscal Cooperation*. Oxford University Press.
- Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S. (2021) Artificial Intelligence and Management: The Automation–Augmentation Paradox , *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 46, No. 1 doi.org/10.5465/amr.2018.0072
- Rambaud A., Richard J. (2015). □ The Triple Depreciation Line instead of the Triple Bottom Line: Towards a genuine integrated reporting, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, p. 1-49.
- Ramdi, I. (2021). La technologie digitale et la profession d'audit : Quel impact ? *International journal of accounting, finance, auditing, management and economics*, 2(6-1), 126-144. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5730568>
- Rayburn, S. W., McGeorge, A., Anderson, S., & Sierra, J. J. (2022). Crisis-induced behavior: From fear and frugality to the familiar. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 46(2), 524-539.
- Richard, J., 2012, *Comptabilité et Développement Durable*, Economica, 263p.
- Rodrik, D. (2023). A Pragmatic Approach to the Political Economy of Globalization. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 37(1), 3–26.
- Rosário, A. T. (2024). *Artificial intelligence in the consumer behavior process in business. In Enhancing and Predicting Digital Consumer Behavior with AI* (pp. 39-73). IGI Global.
- Sachs, J. D. (2012). *From Millennium Development Goals to Sustainable Development Goals*. *The Lancet*, 379(9832), 2206–2211.
- Saeed, A., Zafar, M. W., Manita, R., & Zahid, N. (2024). The role of audit quality in waste management behavior. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 89, 1203-1216.
- Sahay, M. R., von Allmen, M. U. E., Lahreche, M. A., Khera, P., Ogawa, M. S., Bazarbash, M., & Beaton, M. K. (2020). *The promise of fintech: Financial inclusion in the post COVID-19 era*. *International Monetary Fund*.
- Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. (2021). Sustainable Entrepreneurship: A Conceptual Framework. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(1), 1-12. DOI: [10.1002/bse.2630](https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2630)
- Schram, A. (2024). Geopolitical Shocks and the Sustainability Imperative: Rethinking Governance in Fragmented Times. *Sustainability Governance Review*, 12(2), 45–63.
- Semprebon, E., Mantovani, D., Demczuk, R., Souto Maior, C., & Vilasanti, V. (2019). Green consumption: a network analysis in marketing. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 37(1), 18-32.
- Shafira, V. S., Ramadhani, G., & Rachman, I. F. (2024). How Digital Literacy Can Drive Inclusive Progress Towards the 2030 SDGs. *Advances in Economics & Financial Studies*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.60079/aefs.v2i2.260>.

- Shehadeh, M et Hussainey, K (2025). *From Digital Disruption to Dominance : Leveraging FinTech Applications for Sustainable Growth*. Emerald Publishing
- Shepherd, D. A., & Patzelt, H. (2023). The Role of Resilience in Entrepreneurial Success: Insights from the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 37(1), 1-15. DOI: [10.5465/amp.2020.0030](https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2020.0030)
- Shiu, Y. M., & Yang, S. L. (2017). *Does engagement in corporate social responsibility provide strategic insurance-like effects?* *Strategic Management Journal*.
- Short, J. C., Moss, T. W., & Lumpkin, G. T. (2020). Research in Social Entrepreneurship: Past Contributions and Future Directions. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 44(3), 1-25. DOI: [10.1177/1042258719892000](https://doi.org/10.1177/1042258719892000)
- Sun, T.S. (2019). Applying Deep Learning to Audit Procedures: An Illustrative Framework. *Accounting Horizons*, [e-journal] 33(3) _, 89-109. <https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-52455>.
- Tarczyska-Luniewska, M., Maciukaite-Zviniene, S., Nareswari, N., & Ciptomulyono, U. (2024). *Analysing the Complexity of ESG Integration in Emerging Economies: An Examination of Key Challenges*. In *Exploring ESG Challenges and Opportunities: Navigating Towards a Better Future* (Vol. 116, pp. 41–60). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Thakur, A., & Kumar, M. (2024). Impact of ai on future of consumer behaviouR. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Humanities*, 14(Special Issue 1), 78-83.
- Thi Hang, N. P. (2025). *Sanctions and cryptocurrency: a catalyst for digital adoption?* *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*.
- Tiwari, M., Lupton, C., Bernot, A., & Halteh, K. (2024). *The cryptocurrency conundrum: the emerging role of digital currencies in geopolitical conflicts*. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 31(6), 1622-1634.
- Tundys, B., & Wiśniewski, T. (2023). Triple bottom line aspects and sustainable supply chain resilience: A structural equation modelling approach. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 11, 1161437.
- United Nations Statistics Division (UNSD). (2021). *System of Environmental-Economic Accounting—Ecosystem Accounting (SEEA EA)*. United Nations.
- Uyar, A., Elbardan, H., Kuzey, C., & Karaman, A. S. (2023). Audit and CSR committees: are they complements or substitutes in CSR reporting, assurance and GRI framework adoption ? *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 31(1), 1-36.
- Vann Yaroson, E., Chowdhury, S., Mangla, S. K., Dey, P., Chan, F. T., & Roux, M. (2024). A systematic literature review exploring and linking circular economy and sustainable development goals in the past three decades (1991–2022). *International Journal of Production Research*, 62(4), 1399-1433.
- Vedres, B., & Vasarhelyi, O. (2023). Inclusion unlocks the creative potential of gender diversity in teams. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2713291/v1>.
- Verbytska, A., Lysenko, I., Babachenko, L., & Kraskivska, N. (2023). *Inclusive social marketing: Representation and diversity in brand campaigns*. *Mark Brand Res*, 10, 45-58.
- Wang, C. H., & Juo, W. J. (2024). Sustainable environmental performance: The mediating role of green reputation in the choice of green marketing or green demarketing. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31(3), 1756-1768.
- Whittington, G. (2023). *Standard setting in times of crisis: The future of global accounting governance*. *European Accounting Review*, 32(1), 5–28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2022.2154347>
- World Economic Forum. (2024). *Global risks report 2024*. <https://www.weforum.org/reports/global-risks-report-2024/>

- Wronka, C. (2022). *Digital currencies and economic sanctions: the increasing risk of sanction evasion*. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 29(4), 1269-1282.
- Yap, S. F., Xu, Y., & Tan, L. (2021). Coping with crisis: The paradox of technology and consumer vulnerability. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 45(6), 1239-1257.
- Yip, W. S., Zhou, H. & To, S. (2023). A critical analysis on the triple bottom line of sustainable manufacturing: key findings and implications. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30, 41388–41404. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-25122-x>.
- Zins, A., & Weill, L. (2016). *The determinants of financial inclusion in Africa*. *Review of Development Finance*, 6(1), 46–57.
- Zola, F., Medina, J. A., & Orduna, R. (2024, September). *Assessing the Impact of Sanctions in the Crypto Ecosystem: Effective Measures or Ineffective Deterrents?*. In *European Symposium on Research in Computer Security* (pp. 292–308). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.